



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,817	01/30/2002	William B. Reulein	72226 (7423)	2467
22242	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			TRAN, QUOC A	
120 SOUTH I SUITE 1600	LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60603-3406		2176	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			//\/ ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- W/			
	10/058,817	REULEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quoc A. Tran	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	; 			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mc tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed onity (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
<u>/_</u>						
 Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und 	•	·	its is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Staper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to application filed 01/30/2002.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are pending. Claims 1 and 19 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Landford-Wilson US Patent No. 6,589,292 B1 issued 07/08/2003 filed 03/29/1999 (hereinafter '292), in view of Khan et al US Patent No. 6,360,236 B1 issued 03/19/2002 filed 08/31/1998 (hereinafter '236).

In regard to independent claim 1, "creating a plurality of document components; creating a plurality of rules governing document assembly", as taught by '292 at col. 2, line 25 thought col. 3, line 60 (i.e.... Newshole: We have used this term to describe a component of a page... newshole may itself be comprised of several elements: a story may contain headlines, pictures and text, which together make up the newshole for that story ... newshole takes place... enables the various elements of a newshole to be arranged (sized and positioned) and formatted (styles, using devices

Art Unit: 2176

such as typography, color and borders) in a manner that: I. suits or matches the particular newshole design (presentation) rules of a publication... allowing the user to predefine relationships between the various components of the newshole... Define, for each of these layouts, rules governing the behavior of each element of layout, as to its size, position and typographic style and so on...).

'292 does not explicitly teach, "providing document specific data and text, said provided data and text being further specific to individuals intended to receive customized copies of documents being published; and providing a document request, said document request initiating assembly of a document, said document being assembled responsive to said created rules and provided data and text", however, as taught by '236 at col. 2, line 40 through col. 3, line 15 (i.e.... allows simultaneously displaying the document being developed...provide a summary of content of differing message files and a varying step is implemented which varies the subportion of the viewable information by displaying one of the differing message files.....The tool palette has one or more tool-defining regions. Each tool-defining region specifies a predetermined operation that modifies a subportion of the viewable information, defining an annotation...The document file and the message file may be any type of computer readable file...), also as taught by '236 at col. 9, lines 27-38 (i.e...data communication between the client terminals 12 and the ReviewIt.TM. server 10a while providing the full flexibility of the integrated system. To that end, the Reviewlt.TM. server 10a bifurcates the data transmitted to a client terminal 12, concerning a document under development, into single and multiple server call data. The single

Art Unit: 2176

server call data is transmitted to the client terminals 12 as soon as a project is opened...).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified '236 into '292 to provide a way to include the feature, wherein document is specific data and text, that is further specific to individuals intended to receive customized copies of documents being published; and providing a document request, that including the request of initiating assembly of a document, wherein the document being assembled responsive to said created rules and provided data and text. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide dynamic design collaboration the evolution of many documents, such as architectural specifications, books, legal papers and other lengthy manuscripts may involve multiple iterations or contributions from multiple developers or both over a data network, as taught by '236 at col. 1, lines 15-20 (i.e....dynamic design collaboration over a data network...).

In regard to dependent claim 2, "document rules directing component selection and text selection, condition rules directing selection of logic conditions to be evaluated; and action rules directing document editing actions to be taken responsive to logical evaluation of selected document rules and condition rules", as taught by '292 at col. 3, line 40 though col. 4, line 5 (i.e.... rules governing the behaviors of each element of layout, as to its size, position and typographic style and so on. This facility allows the publication to predefine relationships between the various components of the newshole... Determines which layouts are or are not suitable or correct for usage

Art Unit: 2176

in the current newshole, in accordance with the predefined rules and taking into account the specified desires of the database user...).

In regard to dependent claim 3, "a plurality of said document rules are assembled into a logic function that is spread across multiple ones of said plurality condition rules", as taught by '292 at col. 3, lines 30-65 (i.e.... rules governing the behaviors of each element of layout ... Determines which layouts are or are not suitable or correct for usage in the current newshole, in accordance with the predefined rules and taking into account the specified desires of the database user...).

In regard to dependent claim 4, "plurality of document rules include operators from condition rules directing collection of said multiple rules to form said logic functions", as taught by '292 at col. 19, lines 60-65 (i.e.... A function is provided whereby a particular Section/Layout Style/Family can be assigned (or "mapped") to a "hot-key", a key on a keyboard designated to carry out a particular computer command. This functions as a sort of computer "shorthand". It allows a certain style or type of layout to be assigned and then employed by a single command...).

In regard to dependent claim 5, "wherein ones of said document rules select other subsequent document rules, condition rules and variable text rules", as taught by '292 at col. 1, lines 20-25 (i.e....'design' refers to the graphical rules or guidelines that govern how elements (such as headlines, pictures and text) should be used to construct newsholes...).

In regard to dependent claim 6, "wherein ones of said document rules conditionally select said subsequent document rules, condition rules and variable text

Art Unit: 2176

rules", as taught by '292 at col. 1, lines 20-25 (i.e....`design` refers to the graphical rules or guidelines that govern how elements (such as headlines, pictures and text) should be used to construct newsholes...).

In regard to dependent claim 7, "wherein said document rules further contain multiple business layers, each of said business layers providing a client specific organization, content and business rules", as taught by '292 at col. 4, lines 35-50 (i.e.... The NAILS system provides a separate "working area" for each publication publishedfor each of those publications... Within each publication, the database is programmed preferably in a hierarchical structure ... various sections of the publication, such as News, Sport, Business and so on. (The publication defines these according to its needs...).

In regard to dependent claim 8, "wherein unused said business layers are marked null", as taught by '236 at col. 10, lines 30-55 (i.e....referring to both FIGS. 11 and FIGS. 13...control passes from the client terminal 12 to the ReviewIt server ... to determine whether a null is present...).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified '236 into '292 to provide a way to include the feature, wherein document is specific data and text, that is further specific to individuals intended to receive customized copies of documents being published; and providing a document request, that including the request of initiating assembly of a document, wherein the document being assembled responsive to said created rules and wherein said document rules further contain multiple business layers, which could

Art Unit: 2176

be mark null if the business layers is unused. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide dynamic design collaboration the evolution of many documents, such as architectural specifications, books, legal papers and other lengthy manuscripts may involve multiple iterations or contributions from multiple developers or both over a data network, as taught by '236 at col. 1, lines 15-20 (i.e....dynamic design collaboration over a data network...).

In regard to dependent claim 9, "wherein said business layers provide document component authorization security control, document reporting control and control for searching and indexing rules blocks", as taught by '236 at col. 5, lines 5-10 (i.e. ... To restrict access, a password data entry field is provided 68b in which any series of number or letters, up to 256 characters, may be entered. As is standard with most password security features, password data is never recited in the field 68b...), also as taught by '236 at col. 2, line 40 through col. 3, line 15 (i.e..... allows simultaneously displaying the document being developed...provide a summary of content of differing message files and a varying step is implemented which varies the subportion of the viewable information by displaying one of the differing message files... The tool palette has one or more tool-defining regions. Each tool-defining region specifies a predetermined operation that modifies a subportion of the viewable information, defining an annotation...).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified '236 into '292 to provide a way to include the feature, wherein said business layers provide document component authorization

Art Unit: 2176

security control, document reporting control and control for searching and indexing rules blocks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide dynamic design collaboration the evolution of many documents, such as architectural specifications, books, legal papers and other lengthy manuscripts may involve multiple iterations or contributions from multiple developers or both over a data network, as taught by '236 at col. 1, lines 15-20 (i.e....dynamic design collaboration over a data network...).

In regard to dependent claim 10, "wherein document component authorization security control designates user read and write access to document components", as taught by '236 at col. 5, lines 10-20 (i.e. ... If a user desires to register to use the present invention, virtual button 68d is activated and dialog box 71 is displayed so as to be in superimposition with dialog box 68, shown in FIG. 6. Dialog box 71 includes a plurality of data entry fields 71a-p. Also included thereon are virtual buttons 71q and 71r, which allows submission of the data, once data, has been entered in fields 71...).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified '236 into '292 to provide a way to include the feature, wherein document component authorization security control designates user read and write access to document components. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide dynamic design collaboration the evolution of many documents, such as architectural specifications, books, legal papers and other lengthy manuscripts may involve multiple iterations or

Art Unit: 2176

contributions from multiple developers or both over a data network, as taught by '236 at col. 1, lines 15-20 (i.e....dynamic design collaboration over a data network...).

In regard to dependent claim 11, "wherein a plurality of said document rules are always true, always true rules being unconditional rules", as taught by '292 at col. 3, lines 40-55(i.e.... automatically fitted (or filled up) consistent with those design rules ... Define, for each of these layouts, rules governing the behavior of each element of layout...). Examiner reads "consistent with those design rules, and rules governing the behavior", which could be interpreted as the claimed "true rules being unconditional rules".

In regard to dependent claim 12, "wherein each of said condition rules is linked to a document rule", as taught by '292 at col. 3, lines 50-60(i.e.... rules governing the behavior of each element of layout, as to its size, position and typographic style and so on. This facility allows the publication to predefine relationships between the various components of the newshole, which relationships determine each component's size and position relative to the others...).

In regard to dependent claim 13, "wherein each of said condition rules contain pointers indicating any next condition and any subcondition", as taught by '292 at col. 9, lines 30-40 (i.e.... "target" layout size to be defined prior to requesting a selection of possible layouts ...).

In regard to dependent claim 14, "wherein blocks of said condition rules are selected for a corresponding document rule, said blocks providing a logic function to be evaluated for said corresponding document rule", as taught by '292 at col. 10, lines 5-20

Art Unit: 2176

(i.e.... A function is provided whereby a user may select, on the page, a layout which was already created using the present invention, and then "cycle through" alternative layouts. In this case, the alternative layouts are selected from with the Section/Style as the current layout. Each of the alternative layouts is chosen form the other Families within that Section/Style. This presents a convenient means of revising or changing a layout which has already been drawn...).

In regard to dependent claim 15, "wherein each of said action rules corresponds to one of said document rules", as taught by '292 at col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 5 (i.e.... Determines which layouts are or are not suitable or correct for usage in the current newshole, in accordance with the predefined rules and taking into account the specified desires of the database user and/or C. Graphically displays for the user the correct or suitable layouts and allows selection of one of them...).

In regard to dependent claim 16, "wherein each of said action rules contains a specific action on a component with respect to said document being created", as taught by '292 at col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 10 (i.e.... Determines which layouts are or are not suitable or correct for usage in the current newshole... automatically built the final layout...).

In regard to dependent claim 17, "wherein the plurality of said action rules are grouped into groups, each group of action rules being identified for one of said document rules", as taught by '292 at col. 4, lines 10-15 (i.e.... the selected layout and its elements to suit the new size specified by the user, in accordance with the rules that have been defined for that layout and/or F. Automatically monitors any further edits that

Art Unit: 2176

the user may make to the layout after it is drawn, and measures these against the predefined design rules).

In regard to dependent claim 18, "wherein document components are inserted, deleted or replaced as directed by a particular action rule", as taught by '292 at col. 4, lines 10-15 (i.e.... the selected layout and its elements to suit the new size specified by the user, in accordance with the rules that have been defined for that layout and/or F. Automatically monitors any further edits that the user may make to the layout after it is drawn, and measures these against the predefined design rules).

In regard to independent claim 19, is directed to a data structure for performing the method of claims 1-2, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 20-29 consecutively, is directed to a data structure for performing the method of claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 9,10, 11, 13, 16 and 14 consecutively, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dabney et al USPAT- 6,643,663 B1 issued 11/04/2003 filed 10/08/1999 Rowe et al USPAT- 5,860,074 B1 issued 01/12/1999 filed 08/14/1997

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc A. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Art Unit: 2176

8781, "After Oct 20, 2004, the examiner can be reach at (571) 272- 4103". The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quoc A. Tran

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2176

September 23, 2004

JOSEPH FEILD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER